

## (II) The heat kernel of the Dirac operator

For a Dirac operator  $D$  on a Clifford module  $E$ , its square is a Laplace type operator:

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 \psi &= \sum_{i,j} c(e_i) \nabla_i c(e_j) \nabla_j \psi \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (c(e_i) c(e_j) \nabla_i \nabla_j \psi + c(e_i) c(\nabla_i e_j) \nabla_j \psi) \\ &= -\sum_i \nabla_i^2 \psi + \sum_{i,j} c(e_i) c(\nabla_i e_j) \nabla_j \psi \end{aligned}$$

In fact, this is commonly used as a definition for general Dirac operators.

Because of this, the heat equation

$$(*) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t) + D^2 \psi(t) = 0 \\ \psi(0) = \psi_0 \end{cases}$$

is well-posed on closed manifolds  $X$ .

One has a solution operator, suggestively written

$$e^{-tD^2}: L^2(X, E) \longrightarrow L^2(X, E)$$

such that  $\psi(t) := e^{-tD^2}\psi_0$  solves (\*).

Thm. There is a smooth section  $p$  of the vector bundle  $E^* \boxtimes E$  with fibers

$$(E^* \boxtimes E)_{(x,y)} = \text{Hom}(E_x, E_y)$$

over  $(0, \infty) \times X \times X$  such that

$$(e^{-tD^2}\psi_0)(y) = \int_X p_t(x, y) \psi_0(x) dx$$

for all  $\psi_0 \in L^2(X, E)$ .

To roughly see how to construct this heat kernel  $p_t(x, y)$ , observe that by our assumptions,  $D^2$  is a self-adjoint Laplace type operator. Therefore,

elliptic theory implies that it has an  $L^2$ -orthonormal basis of eigenvectors

$$\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots \in C^\infty(X, \bar{E})$$

for eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \uparrow \infty.$$

Then it is clear that formally, one has

$$p_t(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_k} \varphi_k^*(x) \otimes \varphi_k(y).$$

Namely, if  $\psi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \varphi_k$ , then

$$\int_X p_t(x, y) \psi(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-t\lambda_k} \varphi_k.$$

This equals  $\psi$  for  $t=0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D^2\right) \psi &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{-t\lambda_k} \varphi_k + \lambda_k e^{-t\lambda_k} \varphi_k\right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

To see that  $p_\varepsilon(x, y)$  is in fact a smooth function, one can use the following block box.

Thm. (i) There exist  $c, C > 0$  such that

$$ck^{2/n} \leq \lambda_k \leq Ck^{2/n}.$$

(ii) There exist  $C, m > 0$  such that

$$\|\varphi_k\| \leq Ck^m.$$

To apply this, use some Sobolev theory. The spaces  $H^k(X, E)$  are defined by

$$\|\psi\|_{H^k}^2 = \sum_{e=0}^k \|\nabla^e \psi\|_{L^2}^2.$$

One needs two facts:

(1)  $\|\psi\|_{D, k}^2 := \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D^k \psi\|_{L^2}^2$  (elliptic estimates)  
gives an equivalent norm.

(2)  $H^{k + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}(X, E) \subseteq C^k(X, E)$ . (Sobolev embedding).

The smoothness of  $p_t(x,y)$  means that  $e^{-tD^2}$  is trace-class for  $t > 0$ , with trace

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-tD^2}) = \int_X \text{tr } p_t(x,x) dx$$

How does this relate to index theory?

Remember that  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$  and that  $D$  is odd, i.e. it maps  $E^\pm$  to  $E^\mp$ .

Thm (McKean-Singer). For any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}(D) &= \text{Str}(e^{-tD^2}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(e^{-tD^-D^+} - e^{-tD^+D^-}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof 1: We have

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-tD^-D^+} - e^{-tD^+D^-}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (e^{-t\lambda_k^+} - e^{-t\lambda_k^-}).$$

Suppose that

$$D^-D^+\varphi = \lambda\varphi \Rightarrow D^+D^-D^+\varphi = \lambda D^+\varphi$$

Hence  $D^+\varphi$  is an eigenvector of  $D^+D^-$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . We obtain a map

$$D^+ : \text{Eig}(\lambda, D^-D^+) \longrightarrow \text{Eig}(\lambda, D^+D^-)$$

Similarly, if

$$D^+D^-\psi = \lambda\psi \Rightarrow D^-D^+D^-\psi = \lambda D^-\psi,$$

hence

$$D^- : \text{Eig}(\lambda, D^+D^-) \longrightarrow \text{Eig}(\lambda, D^-D^+).$$

We obtain that

(1)  $D^+D^-$  and  $D^-D^+$  have the same eigenvalues.

(2) For  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $D^+$  provides an isomorphism between the eigenspaces with inverse  $\frac{1}{\lambda}D^-$ .

Hence all the contributions in the supertrace corresponding to non-zero modes cancel,

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (e^{-t\lambda_k^+} - e^{-t\lambda_k^-}) &= \sum_{\lambda_k^+ \neq 0} \overset{=1}{e^{-t\lambda_k^+}} - \sum_{\lambda_k^- \neq 0} \overset{=1}{e^{-t\lambda_k^-}} \\ &= \dim \ker(D^-D^+) - \dim \ker(D^+D^-). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, notice that

$$\ker D^- D^+ = \ker D^+, \quad \ker D^+ D^- = \ker D^-$$

The inclusion „ $\supseteq$ “ is clear. For the other, notice that if  $D^- D^+ \varphi = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \varphi, D^- D^+ \varphi \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \underbrace{(D^-)^*}_{= D^+} \varphi, D^+ \varphi \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \|D^+ \varphi\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $D^+ \varphi = 0$ .  $\square$

Proof 2. We use that the supertrace satisfies  $\text{Str}[A, B] = 0$  for the super-commutator

$$[A, B] = AB - (-1)^{|A||B|} BA.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{Str}(e^{-tD^2}) &= \text{Str}(D^2 e^{-tD^2}) \\ &= \text{Str}([D, D e^{-tD^2}]) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as the Dirac operator is odd.

The proof now follows from the fact that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-tD^2} = \Pi,$$

the projection onto the kernel of  $D^2$ , which is the same as the kernel of  $D$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Str } \Pi &= \text{Tr } \Pi^+ - \text{Tr } \Pi^- \\ &= \dim \ker D^+ - \dim \ker D^- \\ &= \text{ind}(D). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Having established that

$$\text{ind}(D) = \text{Str}(e^{-tD^2})$$

$$= \int_X p_t(x, x) dx$$

for any  $t > 0$ , we have in particular

$$\text{ind}(D) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_X p_t(x, x) dx.$$



It turns out that it is worthwhile to study the short-time asymptotics of  $p_t(x, x)$ . Namely, we have the following.

Thm. The heat kernel has the asymptotic expansion as  $t \rightarrow 0$

$$p_t(x, x) \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j a_j(x)$$

uniformly for  $x \in M$ , meaning that for each  $T > 0$  and each  $N$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| p_t(x, x) - (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^N t^j a_j(x) \right| \leq C t^{N - \frac{n}{2} + 1}$$

for each  $t \in (0, T]$  and each  $x \in X$ . The  $a_j(x)$  are uniquely determined and depend on the curvature and at most  $j$  derivatives of it at  $x$ .

Hence we have the asymptotic expansion as  $t \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_X \text{str} p_t(x, x) dx \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_X \text{str} a_j(x) dx.$$

On the other hand, the left hand side equals  $\text{ind}(\mathbb{D})$ , hence is constant. We obtain that  $\text{ind}(\mathbb{D})$  equals the constant term in the asymptotic expansion.

[ Corollary. We have

$$\text{ind}(\mathbb{D}) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_X \text{str} a_{n/2}(x) dx.$$

The goal will then be to identify the term  $\text{str} a_{n/2}(x)$ .

We now discuss how to obtain the asymptotic expansion.

The heat kernel can be exactly computed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; it is

$$\delta_t(x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{4t}\right).$$

This function makes sense on any Riem. manifold with  $d(x, y)$  being the Riemannian distance function; it is smooth on the set

$$X \bowtie X := \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \text{there ex. a unique minimizing geodesic between } x \text{ and } y\}.$$

Now we make the ansatz

$$p_t(x, y) = \delta_t(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j a_j(x, y)$$

with  $a_j(x, y) \in C^\infty(X \bowtie X, E^* \otimes E)$ . We now derive equations on the  $a_j$  that needed to be fulfilled in order to satisfy the heat equation formally.

First one calculates

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_t(x, y) = \chi_t(x, y) \left( \frac{d(x, y)^2}{4t^2} - \frac{n}{2t} \right)$$

$$\text{grad}_y \chi_t(x, y) = \chi_t(x, y) \left( - \frac{\text{grad}_y d(x, y)^2}{4t} \right)$$

$$\Delta_y \chi_t(x, y) = \chi_t(x, y) \left( - \frac{d(x, y)^2}{4t^2} + \frac{\Delta_y d(x, y)^2}{4t} \right).$$

Therefore, setting  $\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2} \text{grad}_x d(x, y)^2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{D}_y^2 \right) \chi_t(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j a_j(x, y) \\ &= \chi_t(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ - \frac{n}{2} t^{j-1} a_j(x, y) + j t^{j-1} a_j(x, y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} t^{j-1} \nabla_{\mathcal{R}} a_j(x, y) + t^{j-1} \frac{\Delta_y d(x, y)^2}{4} a_j(x, y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + t^j \mathcal{D}_y^2 a_j(x, y) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Shifting an index, this equals the following.

$$\delta_t(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j \left[ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathcal{R}} a_j(x, y) + \left( \frac{\Delta_y d(x, y)^2}{4} + j - \frac{n}{2} \right) a_j(x, y) + \mathcal{D}_y^2 a_{j-1}(x, y) \right].$$

Requiring this to vanish, we obtain the recursive transport equations

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{R}} a_0(x, y) + \left( \frac{\Delta_y d(x, y)^2}{2} - n \right) a_0(x, y) = 0.$$

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{R}} a_{j+1}(x, y) + \left( \frac{\Delta_y d(x, y)^2}{2} + 2j - n \right) a_{j+1}(x, y) = -2 \mathcal{D}_y^2 a_j(x, y).$$

Fixing  $x$ , these equations reduce to ODE's along the geodesics emanating from  $x$ . As the radial vector field  $\mathcal{R}$  in normal coordinates around  $x$  has the form

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

these ODE's are singular at the starting point. It turns out that in order to obtain smooth solutions for the  $a_j(x, y)$ , one needs to prescribe a single initial condition, namely the value for  $a_0(x, x)$ .

When taking  $a_0(x, x) = \text{id}_{E_x}$ , one obtains unique solutions given recursively by

$$a_0(x, y) = J(x, y)^{-1/2} P(x, y)$$

$$a_{j+1}(x, y) = - \int_0^1 s^{j+1} P(x, \gamma(s)) a_j(\gamma(s), y) ds.$$

Here  $P(x, y)$  is the parallel transport along the shortest geodesic from  $x$  to  $y$  (denoted by  $\gamma(s)$  in the second equation), and

$$J(x, y) = \left| \det \left( d\exp_x|_{\exp_x^{-1}(y)} : T_x X \rightarrow T_y X \right) \right|$$

is the Jacobian determinant of the Riem. exponential map.

This determines the coefficients  $a_j(x, y)$ . There are now different ways to prove that this formal solution is indeed asymptotic to the real solution. This uses essentially uniqueness of solutions of the heat equation.

### (III) Getzler rescaling

Remember that our goal is to find a more explicit formula for the term

$$\int_X \text{str} a_{\frac{n}{2}}(x, x) = \text{ind}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Theoretically, one could just recursively solve the transport equations. However, that gets more and more complicated with increasing  $n$  and is not feasible.

We therefore follow the footsteps of Getzler to find a more explicit solution.